

## Part 1. Overview Information

---

### Participating Organization(s)

National Institutes of Health ([NIH \(http://www.nih.gov\)](http://www.nih.gov))

---

### Components of Participating Organizations

National Institute of Mental Health ([NIMH \(http://www.nimh.nih.gov/\)](http://www.nimh.nih.gov/))

---

### Funding Opportunity Title

Computationally-Defined Behaviors in Psychiatry  
(R21 Clinical Trial Optional)

---

### Activity Code

[R21 \(/grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/ac\\_search\\_results.htm?text\\_curr=r21&Search.x=0&Search.y=0&Search\\_Type=Activity\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/ac_search_results.htm?text_curr=r21&Search.x=0&Search.y=0&Search_Type=Activity) Exploratory/Developmental Research Grant

---

### Announcement Type

New

---

### Related Notices

- **November 26, 2018** - NIH & AHRQ Announce Upcoming Updates to Application Instructions and Review Criteria for Research Grant Applications. See Notice [NOT-OD-18-228 \(/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-18-228.html\)](http://grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-18-228.html).

---

### Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Number

RFA-MH-19-240

---

### Companion Funding Opportunity

None

---

### Number of Applications

See [Section III. 3. Additional Information on Eligibility](#).

---

### Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number(s)

93.242

---

### Funding Opportunity Purpose

This Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) solicits applications for research projects that will apply computational approaches to develop parametrically detailed behavioral assays across mental-

health relevant domains of function. These projects should focus on behavior in humans and test computational models in healthy subjects. NIMH is particularly interested in the study of behavioral measures, models, and parameters that have the potential for back-translation from humans to animals, especially for pre-clinical therapeutics development, and/or in models that have the potential to be extended to clinical populations.

## **Key Dates**

---

### **Posted Date**

August 9, 2018

---

### **Open Date (Earliest Submission Date)**

October 20, 2018

---

### **Letter of Intent Due Date(s)**

October 20, 2018; October 20, 2019; October 20, 2020

---

### **Application Due Date(s)**

November 20, 2018; November 20, 2019; November 20, 2020, by 5:00 PM local time of applicant organization. All [types of non-AIDS applications](#) allowed for this funding opportunity announcement are due on these dates. No late applications will be accepted for this funding opportunity announcement.

Applicants are encouraged to apply early to allow adequate time to make any corrections to errors found in the application during the submission process by the due date.

---

### **AIDS Application Due Date(s)**

Not Applicable

---

### **Scientific Merit Review**

March 2019; March 2020; March 2021

---

### **Advisory Council Review**

May 2019; May 2020; May 2021

---

### **Earliest Start Date**

July 2019

---

### **Expiration Date**

November 21, 2020

---

### **Due Dates for E.O. 12372**

Not Applicable

## **Required Application Instructions**

It is critical that applicants follow the instructions in the Research (R) Instructions in the [SF424 \(R&R\) Application Guide \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=12000\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/rfa-files/RFA-MH-19-240.html), except where instructed to do otherwise (in this FOA or in a Notice from [NIH Guide for Grants and Contracts \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/)).

Conformance to all requirements (both in the Application Guide and the FOA) is required and strictly enforced. Applicants must read and follow all application instructions in the Application Guide as well as any program-specific instructions noted in [Section IV](#). When the program-specific instructions deviate from those in the Application Guide, follow the program-specific instructions.

**Applications that do not comply with these instructions may be delayed or not accepted for review.**

There are several options available to submit your application through Grants.gov to NIH and Department of Health and Human Services partners. You **must** use one of these submission options to access the application forms for this opportunity.

1. Use the NIH ASSIST system to prepare, submit and track your application online.

Apply Online Using ASSIST

2. Use an institutional system-to-system (S2S) solution to prepare and submit your application to Grants.gov and [eRA Commons \(http://public.era.nih.gov/commons/\)](http://public.era.nih.gov/commons/) to track your application. Check with your institutional officials regarding availability.
3. Use [Grants.gov \(http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/download-application-package.html#search=true&oppNum=RFA-MH-19-240\)](http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/download-application-package.html#search=true&oppNum=RFA-MH-19-240) Workspace to prepare and submit your application and [eRA Commons \(http://public.era.nih.gov/commons/\)](http://public.era.nih.gov/commons/) to track your application.

## Table of Contents

### [Part 1. Overview Information](#)

#### [Key Dates](#)

### [Part 2. Full Text of Announcement](#)

#### [Section I. Funding Opportunity Description](#)

#### [Section II. Award Information](#)

#### [Section III. Eligibility Information](#)

#### [Section IV. Application and Submission Information](#)

#### [Section V. Application Review Information](#)

#### [Section VI. Award Administration Information](#)

#### [Section VII. Agency Contacts](#)

#### [Section VIII. Other Information](#)

## Part 2. Full Text of Announcement

### Section I. Funding Opportunity Description

#### **Purpose**

This Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) solicits applications for research projects that will apply computational approaches to develop parametrically detailed behavioral assays across mental-health relevant domains of function. These projects should focus on behavior in humans and test

computational models in healthy subjects. NIMH is particularly interested in the study of behavioral measures, models, and parameters that have the potential for back-translation from humans to animals, especially for pre-clinical therapeutics development, and/or in models that have the potential to be extended to clinical populations.

## **Background and Rationale**

Understanding the regulation and dysregulation of human behavior requires the ability to investigate questions critical to mental health in both humans and non-human animals. Ideally, tools available for psychiatric and behavioral neuroscience research would include a library of behavioral assays that can be used in both humans and animals to assess mental-health relevant domains of function and to test hypotheses regarding neurobiological mechanisms. Currently, however, significant gaps exist in our ability to move research bi-directionally between humans and animals. Behavioral and cognitive assays designed for screening medications in rodents (e.g., forced swim test, tail-suspension test, sucrose preference) are rarely predictive of human outcomes. Complex paradigms or self-report measures designed for use in humans present obvious barriers for back-translating to animals.

Moreover, current paradigms for measuring human behavior often lack the computational rigor necessary to reliably model the richness and variability critical to studies of mental health and illness. These problems hinder the translational pipeline for understanding pathophysiology of mental illness and developing therapeutic intervention approaches for psychiatric disorders.

To address this gap, NIMH is interested in the development of a new set of computationally-informed behavioral paradigms and/or the deployment of novel computational models to existing paradigms to capture dimensional aspects of mental-health relevant behaviors. A quantitative understanding of the dynamic relationships and constraints of relevant parameters in the physical world has enabled the rapid accumulation of knowledge and impactful technology development in several fields of science. In basic neurobiology, for example, equations describing the dynamics of ion flow in neurons (e.g. Hodgkin-Huxley) have been fundamental to our ability to measure and understand electrophysiological data. Applications of this type of computational approach to behavioral data, however, have been much more limited. One area which demonstrates the potential power of computationally-informed behavioral paradigms is reinforcement-learning theory. This methodology has not been extensively exploited in many other behavioral domains relevant to mental health, and novel models are needed.

This funding opportunity announcement (FOA) encourages the development of theoretically motivated mathematical models able to account for quantitative, parametric behavioral measurements in humans. Human studies should focus on mental-health relevant domains of function; identify a limited set of key parameters that govern specific behavioral dynamics; define mathematical relationships between these parameters that can accurately represent an empirical dataset; and validate the model by predicting behavioral outcomes in a validation dataset. Resulting behavioral mathematical models should be parsimonious, balancing complexity with descriptive ability and predictive utility. These systems will provide high-level objectivity and consistency, enabling a better understanding of behavioral dynamics and more rigorous hypothesis generation and testing of neurobiological mechanisms.

To maximize relevance and potential generalizability, models should first be applied to human behavioral data acquired from samples encompassing a wide range of normative behavioral variance. To strengthen our translational pipeline, future applications of the same models in animals and/or clinical samples should be anticipated.

## **Research Objectives**

The specific goal of this FOA is to support projects in human subjects that will use theory-driven computational models of parametrically detailed behaviors relevant to mental health. Projects responsive to this FOA would focus on:

- A well-defined question in behavioral science relevant to psychiatric populations.

- Behavioral paradigms designed to measure dimensional processes linked to a specific domain of function that is affected in psychiatric disorders.
- Behavioral measures with rigorous psychometric properties (e.g. test-retest reliability).
- Parametrically-detailed, mental-health relevant behavioral measures that readily lend themselves to rigorous computational analysis, predictions, and explanations.
- Paradigms and models that have the potential for back-translation from humans to animals to advance novel therapeutic strategies or that can be extended to clinical populations in the future.

Steps to develop such a theoretical model will likely include:

- Breaking down the human behavior into fine-grained parameters that can be mathematically described.
- Integrating the human behavioral parameters in an experimentally-grounded mathematical formalism (e.g., a new behavioral theory). The instantiation of the model should be based on the integration of previous experimental findings, should allow the tracking and integration of all parameters, and should predict behavioral outcomes over time.
- Experimentally validating and optimizing these theory-driven models of human behavior. The mathematical model needs to be experimentally tested, validated, and refined by rigorous determination of the relationships between all the behavioral parameters and the outcome variables. This process includes demonstration that the model can make behaviorally accurate predictions for a new experiment or dataset.

## Specific Areas of Research

Examples of research projects that might be submitted under this FOA include, but are not limited to, mathematical formalisms and computational models designed to:

- Explain and predict human behavioral constructs outlined in the [Research Domain Criteria \(http://www.nimh.nih.gov/research-priorities/rdoc/index.shtml\)](http://www.nimh.nih.gov/research-priorities/rdoc/index.shtml) matrix, such as those in the Social Process Domain.
- Classify and quantify behavioral variance in human subjects.
- Describe computationally-informed behavioral assay(s) in large, “unselected” human populations encompassing a wide range of behavioral variance (e.g., mTurk).
- Capture dynamic behavioral measurements and predict changes in behavior over a range of time scales in the same individual.
- Explain behaviors specific to a developmental stage.

Applications that are not responsive to this RFA and will not be reviewed include the following:

- Studies which do not include a well-integrated theoretical and experimental plan to mathematically model behavior.
- Studies which do not involve human subjects.
- Studies in which the behavioral components have been extensively modeled (e.g., projects focused on value learning, reinforcement, or reward prediction error).

Mechanistic clinical trials are allowable under this FOA as a method for studying and modeling human behavior acquired from samples encompassing a wide range of normative behavioral variance. Additional information about the definition of mechanistic trials is provided in [NOT-MH-18-004 \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-MH-18-004.html\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-MH-18-004.html). Applications proposing clinical trials to develop or evaluate the efficacy of novel interventions may only be submitted under one of the [NIMH clinical trials FOAs \(https://www.nimh.nih.gov/funding/opportunities-announcements/clinical-trials-foas/index.shtml\)](https://www.nimh.nih.gov/funding/opportunities-announcements/clinical-trials-foas/index.shtml) and therefore, will not be considered for this FOA.

Potential applicants are encouraged to review NIMH's research priorities in the field of Computational Psychiatry (<https://www.nimh.nih.gov/about/organization/dtr/adult-psychopathology-and->

[psychosocial-interventions-research-branch/computational-psychiatry-program.shtml](https://www.nimh.nih.gov/about/organization/dtr/adult-psychopathology-and-psychosocial-interventions-research-branch/computational-psychiatry-program.shtml) (<https://www.nimh.nih.gov/about/organization/dtr/adult-psychopathology-and-psychosocial-interventions-research-branch/computational-psychiatry-program.shtml>)), the Theoretical and Computational Neuroscience program (<https://www.nimh.nih.gov/about/organization/dnbbs/behavioral-science-and-integrative-neuroscience-research-branch/theoretical-and-computational-neuroscience-program.shtml> (<https://www.nimh.nih.gov/about/organization/dnbbs/behavioral-science-and-integrative-neuroscience-research-branch/theoretical-and-computational-neuroscience-program.shtml>)), and Social and Affective Neuroscience Program (<https://www.nimh.nih.gov/about/organization/dnbbs/behavioral-science-and-integrative-neuroscience-research-branch/social-and-affective-neuroscience-program.shtml> (<https://www.nimh.nih.gov/about/organization/dnbbs/behavioral-science-and-integrative-neuroscience-research-branch/social-and-affective-neuroscience-program.shtml>)) .

See [Section VIII. Other Information](#) for award authorities and regulations.

## **Section II. Award Information**

---

### **Funding Instrument**

Grant: A support mechanism providing money, property, or both to an eligible entity to carry out an approved project or activity.

---

### **Application Types Allowed**

New

Resubmission

The [OER Glossary \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11116\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11116) and the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide provide details on these application types.

---

### **Clinical Trial?**

Optional: Accepting applications that either propose or do not propose clinical trial(s)

[Need help determining whether you are doing a clinical trial? \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=82370\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82370)

---

### **Funds Available and Anticipated Number of Awards**

NIMH intends to fund up to 10 R21 awards, corresponding to a maximum of \$2,000,000, in Direct Cost for fiscal year 2019. Future year amounts will depend on annual appropriations.

---

### **Award Budget**

Direct costs are limited to \$275,000 over a two-year project period, with no more than \$200,000 in direct costs allowed in any single year.

---

### **Award Project Period**

The maximum project period is 2 years.

NIH grants policies as described in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11120\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11120) will apply to the applications submitted and awards made from this FOA.

## **Section III. Eligibility Information**

## 1. Eligible Applicants

### Eligible Organizations

#### Higher Education Institutions

- Public/State Controlled Institutions of Higher Education
- Private Institutions of Higher Education

The following types of Higher Education Institutions are always encouraged to apply for NIH support as Public or Private Institutions of Higher Education:

- Hispanic-serving Institutions
- Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs)
- Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities (TCCUs)
- Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian Serving Institutions
- Asian American Native American Pacific Islander Serving Institutions (AANAPISIs)

#### Nonprofits Other Than Institutions of Higher Education

- Nonprofits with 501(c)(3) IRS Status (Other than Institutions of Higher Education)
- Nonprofits without 501(c)(3) IRS Status (Other than Institutions of Higher Education)

#### For-Profit Organizations

- Small Businesses
- For-Profit Organizations (Other than Small Businesses)

#### Governments

- State Governments
- County Governments
- City or Township Governments
- Special District Governments
- Indian/Native American Tribal Governments (Federally Recognized)
- Indian/Native American Tribal Governments (Other than Federally Recognized)
- Eligible Agencies of the Federal Government
- U.S. Territory or Possession

#### Other

- Independent School Districts
- Public Housing Authorities/Indian Housing Authorities
- Native American Tribal Organizations (other than Federally recognized tribal governments)
- Faith-based or Community-based Organizations
- Regional Organizations
- Non-domestic (non-U.S.) Entities (Foreign Institutions)

### Foreign Institutions

Non-domestic (non-U.S.) Entities (Foreign Institutions) **are** eligible to apply

Non-domestic (non-U.S.) components of U.S. Organizations **are** eligible to apply.

Foreign components, as [defined in the NIH Grants Policy Statement \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11118\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11118), **are** allowed.

### Required Registrations

#### Applicant organizations

Applicant organizations must complete and maintain the following registrations as described in the SF 424 (R&R) Application Guide to be eligible to apply for or receive an award. All registrations must be completed prior to the application being submitted. Registration can take 6 weeks or more, so applicants should begin the registration process as soon as possible. The [NIH Policy on Late Submission of Grant Applications \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-15-039.html\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-15-039.html) states that failure to complete registrations in advance of a due date is not a valid reason for a late submission.

- [Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System \(DUNS\) \(http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform\)](http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform) - All registrations require that applicants be issued a DUNS number. After obtaining a DUNS number, applicants can begin both SAM and eRA Commons registrations. The same DUNS number must be used for all registrations, as well as on the grant application.
- [System for Award Management \(SAM\) \(https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/\)](https://www.sam.gov/portal/public/SAM/) (formerly CCR) – Applicants must complete and maintain an active registration, which requires renewal at least annually. The renewal process may require as much time as the initial registration. SAM registration includes the assignment of a Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code for domestic organizations which have not already been assigned a CAGE Code.
  - [NATO Commercial and Government Entity \(NCAGE\) Code \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11176\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11176) – Foreign organizations must obtain an NCAGE code (in lieu of a CAGE code) in order to register in SAM.
- [eRA Commons \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11123\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11123) - Applicants must have an active DUNS number and SAM registration in order to complete the eRA Commons registration. Organizations can register with the eRA Commons as they are working through their SAM or Grants.gov registration. eRA Commons requires organizations to identify at least one Signing Official (SO) and at least one Program Director/Principal Investigator (PD/PI) account in order to submit an application.
- Grants.gov – Applicants must have an active DUNS number and SAM registration in order to complete the Grants.gov registration.

### **Program Directors/Principal Investigators (PD(s)/PI(s))**

All PD(s)/PI(s) must have an eRA Commons account. PD(s)/PI(s) should work with their organizational officials to either create a new account or to affiliate their existing account with the applicant organization in eRA Commons. If the PD/PI is also the organizational Signing Official, they must have two distinct eRA Commons accounts, one for each role. Obtaining an eRA Commons account can take up to 2 weeks.

### **Eligible Individuals (Program Director/Principal Investigator)**

Any individual(s) with the skills, knowledge, and resources necessary to carry out the proposed research as the Program Director(s)/Principal Investigator(s) (PD(s)/PI(s)) is invited to work with his/her organization to develop an application for support. Individuals from underrepresented racial and ethnic groups as well as individuals with disabilities are always encouraged to apply for NIH support.

For institutions/organizations proposing multiple PDs/Pis, visit the Multiple Program Director/Principal Investigator Policy and submission details in the Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded) Component of the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide.

## **2. Cost Sharing**

[This FOA does not require cost sharing as defined in the \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11126\)NIH Grants Policy Statement. \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11126\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11126)

## **3. Additional Information on Eligibility**

### **Number of Applications**



Applicant organizations may submit more than one application, provided that each application is scientifically distinct.

The NIH will not accept duplicate or highly overlapping applications under review at the same time. This means that the NIH will not accept:

- A new (A0) application that is submitted before issuance of the summary statement from the review of an overlapping new (A0) or resubmission (A1) application.
- A resubmission (A1) application that is submitted before issuance of the summary statement from the review of the previous new (A0) application.
- An application that has substantial overlap with another application pending appeal of initial peer review (see [NOT-OD-11-101 \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-11-101.html\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-11-101.html))

## **Section IV. Application and Submission Information**

### **1. Requesting an Application Package**

Buttons to access the online ASSIST system or to download application forms are available in [Part 1](#) of this FOA. See your administrative office for instructions if you plan to use an institutional system-to-system solution.

### **2. Content and Form of Application Submission**

It is critical that applicants follow the instructions in the Research (R) Instructions in the [SF424 \(R&R\) Application Guide \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=12000\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=12000) except where instructed in this funding opportunity announcement to do otherwise. Conformance to the requirements in the Application Guide is required and strictly enforced. Applications that are out of compliance with these instructions may be delayed or not accepted for review.

For information on Application Submission and Receipt, visit [Frequently Asked Questions – Application Guide, Electronic Submission of Grant Applications \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=41137\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=41137).

### **Letter of Intent**

Although a letter of intent is not required, is not binding, and does not enter into the review of a subsequent application, the information that it contains allows IC staff to estimate the potential review workload and plan the review.

By the date listed in [Part 1. Overview Information](#), prospective applicants are asked to submit a letter of intent that includes the following information:

- Descriptive title of proposed activity
- Name(s), address(es), and telephone number(s) of the PD(s)/PI(s)
- Names of other key personnel
- Participating institution(s)
- Number and title of this funding opportunity

The letter of intent should be sent to:

Email: [nimhpeerreview@mail.nih.gov \(mailto:nimhpeerreview@mail.nih.gov\)](mailto:nimhpeerreview@mail.nih.gov)

### **Page Limitations**

All page limitations described in the SF424 Application Guide and the [Table of Page Limits \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11133\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11133) must be followed

### **Instructions for Application Submission**

The following section supplements the instructions found in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide and should be used for preparing an application to this FOA.

**SF424(R&R) Cover**

All instructions in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide must be followed.

**SF424(R&R) Project/Performance Site Locations**

All instructions in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide must be followed.

**SF424(R&R) Other Project Information**

All instructions in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide must be followed.

**SF424(R&R) Senior/Key Person Profile**

All instructions in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide must be followed.

**R&R or Modular Budget**

All instructions in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide must be followed.

**R&R Subaward Budget**

All instructions in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide must be followed.

**PHS 398 Cover Page Supplement**

All instructions in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide must be followed.

**PHS 398 Research Plan**

All instructions in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide must be followed, with the following additional instructions:

Projects responsive to this FOA would focus on:

- A well-defined question in behavioral science relevant to psychiatric populations.
- Behavioral paradigms designed to measure dimensional processes linked to a specific domain of function that is affected in psychiatric disorders.
- Behavioral measures with rigorous psychometric properties (e.g. test-retest reliability).
- Parametrically-detailed, mental-health relevant behavioral measures that readily lend themselves to rigorous computational analysis, predictions, and explanations.
- Paradigms and models that have the potential for back-translation from humans to animals to advance novel therapeutic strategies.

Steps to develop such a theoretical model will likely include:

- Breaking down the human behavior into fine-grained parameters that can be mathematically described.
- Integrating the human behavioral parameters in an experimentally-grounded mathematical formalism (e.g., a new behavioral theory). The instantiation of the model should be based on the integration of previous experimental findings, should allow the tracking and integration of all parameters, and should predict behavioral outcomes over time.
- Experimentally validating and optimizing these theory-driven models of human behavior. The mathematical model needs to be experimentally tested, validated, and refined by rigorous determination of the relationships between all the behavioral parameters and the outcome variables. This process includes demonstration that the model can make behaviorally accurate predictions for a new experiment or dataset.

**Resource Sharing Plan:** Individuals are required to comply with the instructions for the Resource Sharing Plans as provided in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide.

The following modifications also apply:

- All applications, regardless of the amount of direct costs requested for any one year, should address a Data Sharing Plan for the computational model(s).

**Appendix:**

Only limited Appendix materials are allowed. Follow all instructions for the Appendix as described in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide.

## PHS Human Subjects and Clinical Trials Information

When involving NIH-defined human subjects research, clinical research, and/or clinical trials (and when applicable, clinical trials research experience) follow all instructions for the PHS Human Subjects and Clinical Trials Information form in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide, with the following additional instructions:

If you answered “Yes” to the question “Are Human Subjects Involved?” on the R&R Other Project Information form, you must include at least one human subjects study record using the **Study Record: PHS Human Subjects and Clinical Trials Information** form or **Delayed Onset Study** record.

### Study Record: PHS Human Subjects and Clinical Trials Information

All instructions in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide must be followed.

### Delayed Onset Study

All instructions in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide must be followed.

## PHS Assignment Request Form

All instructions in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide must be followed.

## Foreign Institutions

Foreign (non-U.S.) institutions must follow policies described in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11137\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11137), and procedures for foreign institutions described throughout the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide.

## 3. Unique Entity Identifier and System for Award Management (SAM)

See Part 1. Section III.1 for information regarding the requirement for obtaining a unique entity identifier and for completing and maintaining active registrations in System for Award Management (SAM), NATO Commercial and Government Entity (NCAGE) Code (if applicable), eRA Commons, and Grants.gov

## 4. Submission Dates and Times

[Part I. Overview Information](#) contains information about Key Dates and times. Applicants are encouraged to submit applications before the due date to ensure they have time to make any application corrections that might be necessary for successful submission. When a submission date falls on a weekend or [Federal holiday \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.html?id=82380\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.html?id=82380), the application deadline is automatically extended to the next business day.

Organizations must submit applications to [Grants.gov \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11128\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11128) (the online portal to find and apply for grants across all Federal agencies). Applicants must then complete the submission process by tracking the status of the application in the [eRA Commons \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11123\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11123), NIH's electronic system for grants administration. NIH and Grants.gov systems check the application against many of the application instructions upon submission. Errors must be corrected and a changed/corrected application must be submitted to Grants.gov on or before the application due date and time. If a Changed/Corrected application is submitted after the deadline, the application will be considered late. Applications that miss the due date and time are subjected to the NIH Policy on Late Application Submission.

Applicants are responsible for viewing their application before the due date in the eRA Commons to ensure accurate and successful submission.

Information on the submission process and a definition of on-time submission are provided in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide.

## 5. Intergovernmental Review (E.O. 12372)

This initiative is not subject to ([http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11142](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11142))intergovernmental review. ([http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11142](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11142))

## 6. Funding Restrictions

All NIH awards are subject to the terms and conditions, cost principles, and other considerations described in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11120\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11120) .

Pre-award costs are allowable only as described in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11143\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11143).

## 7. Other Submission Requirements and Information

Applications must be submitted electronically following the instructions described in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide. Paper applications will not be accepted.

Applicants must complete all required registrations before the application due date. [Section III. Eligibility Information](#) contains information about registration.

For assistance with your electronic application or for more information on the electronic submission process, visit [Applying Electronically \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11144\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11144). If you encounter a system issue beyond your control that threatens your ability to complete the submission process on-time, you must follow the [Guidelines for Applicants Experiencing System Issues \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/ElectronicReceipt/support.htm#guidelines\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/ElectronicReceipt/support.htm#guidelines). For assistance with application submission, contact the Application Submission Contacts in [Section VII](#).

### Important reminders:

All PD(s)/PI(s) must include their eRA Commons ID in the Credential field of the Senior/Key Person Profile Component of the SF424(R&R) Application Package. Failure to register in the Commons and to include a valid PD/PI Commons ID in the credential field will prevent the successful submission of an electronic application to NIH. See [Section III](#) of this FOA for information on registration requirements.

The applicant organization must ensure that the DUNS number it provides on the application is the same number used in the organization's profile in the eRA Commons and for the System for Award Management. Additional information may be found in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide.

See [more tips \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11146\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11146) for avoiding common errors.

Upon receipt, applications will be evaluated for completeness and compliance with application instructions by the Center for Scientific Review and responsiveness by [components of participating organizations](#), NIH. Applications that are incomplete, non-compliant and/or nonresponsive will not be reviewed.

### Post Submission Materials

Applicants are required to follow the instructions for post-submission materials, as described in [the policy \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=82299\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82299). Any instructions provided here are in addition to the instructions in the policy.

## Section V. Application Review Information

**NEW** **Important Update:** See [NOT-OD-18-228 \(http://grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-18-228.html\)](http://grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-18-228.html) for updated review language for due dates on or after January 25, 2019.

### 1. Criteria

Only the review criteria described below will be considered in the review process. As part of the [NIH](#)

[mission \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11149\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11149), all applications submitted to the NIH in support of biomedical and behavioral research are evaluated for scientific and technical merit through the NIH peer review system.

For this particular announcement, note the following:

The R21 exploratory/developmental grant supports investigation of novel scientific ideas or new model systems, tools, or technologies that have the potential for significant impact on biomedical or biobehavioral research. An R21 grant application need not have extensive background material or preliminary information. Accordingly, reviewers will emphasize the conceptual framework, the level of innovation, and the potential to significantly advance our knowledge or understanding. Appropriate justification for the proposed work can be provided through literature citations, data from other sources, or, when available, from investigator-generated data. Preliminary data are not required for R21 applications; however, they may be included if available.

**In addition, for applications involving clinical trials:**

A proposed Clinical Trial application may include study design, methods, and intervention that are not by themselves innovative but address important questions or unmet needs. Additionally, the results of the clinical trial may indicate that further clinical development of the intervention is unwarranted or lead to new avenues of scientific investigation.

**Overall Impact**

Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, in consideration of the following review criteria and additional review criteria (as applicable for the project proposed).

**Scored Review Criteria**

Reviewers will consider each of the review criteria below in the determination of scientific merit, and give a separate score for each. An application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have major scientific impact. For example, a project that by its nature is not innovative may be essential to advance a field.

**Significance**

Does the project address an important problem or a critical barrier to progress in the field? Is there a strong scientific premise for the project? If the aims of the project are achieved, how will scientific knowledge, technical capability, and/or clinical practice be improved? How will successful completion of the aims change the concepts, methods, technologies, treatments, services, or preventative interventions that drive this field?

Does the project propose to appropriately use cutting-edge computational modeling strategies to explain a complex behavior relevant to mental health? Does the project include parametrically-detailed, mental-health relevant behavioral measures that readily lend themselves to rigorous computational analysis, predictions, and explanations? Is the instantiation of the model based on the integration of previous experimental findings? Does it allow the tracking and integration of a relevant set of parameters? Does it predict behavioral outcomes over time? Does the project consider paradigms and models that have the potential for back-translation from humans to animals to advance novel therapeutic strategies or that can be extended to clinical populations in the future?

**In addition, for applications involving clinical trials**

Are the scientific rationale and need for a clinical trial to test the proposed hypothesis or intervention well supported by preliminary data, clinical and/or preclinical studies, or information in the literature or knowledge of biological mechanisms? For trials focusing on clinical or public health endpoints, is this clinical trial necessary for testing the safety, efficacy or effectiveness of an intervention that could lead to a change in clinical practice, community behaviors or health care

policy? For trials focusing on mechanistic, behavioral, physiological, biochemical, or other biomedical endpoints, is this trial needed to advance scientific understanding?

### **Investigator(s)**

Are the PD(s)/PI(s), collaborators, and other researchers well suited to the project? If Early Stage Investigators or those in the early stages of independent careers, do they have appropriate experience and training? If established, have they demonstrated an ongoing record of accomplishments that have advanced their field(s)? If the project is collaborative or multi-PD/PI, do the investigators have complementary and integrated expertise; are their leadership approach, governance and organizational structure appropriate for the project?

Does the study team include one or more individuals with expertise in computational modeling and measurement of human behavior

#### **In addition, for applications involving clinical trials**

With regard to the proposed leadership for the project, do the PD/PI(s) and key personnel have the expertise, experience, and ability to organize, manage and implement the proposed clinical trial and meet milestones and timelines? Do they have appropriate expertise in study coordination, data management and statistics? For a multicenter trial, is the organizational structure appropriate and does the application identify a core of potential center investigators and staffing for a coordinating center?

### **Innovation**

Does the application challenge and seek to shift current research or clinical practice paradigms by utilizing novel theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions? Are the concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions novel to one field of research or novel in a broad sense? Is a refinement, improvement, or new application of theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions proposed?

Are the computational models novel and/or applied to under-investigated areas in behavior?

#### **In addition, for applications involving clinical trials**

Does the design/research plan include innovative elements, as appropriate, that enhance its sensitivity, potential for information or potential to advance scientific knowledge or clinical practice?

### **Approach**

Are the overall strategy, methodology, and analyses well-reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the specific aims of the project? Have the investigators presented strategies to ensure a robust and unbiased approach, as appropriate for the work proposed? Are potential problems, alternative strategies, and benchmarks for success presented? If the project is in the early stages of development, will the strategy establish feasibility and will particularly risky aspects be managed? Have the investigators presented adequate plans to address relevant biological variables, such as sex, for studies in vertebrate animals or human subjects?

Does the project pursue a detailed theoretical and experimental testing of a behavioral construct? Does the application have a well-justified plan to include healthy subjects encompassing a wide range of behavioral variance? Is the mathematical model experimentally tested on a validation dataset? Do the behavioral measures have rigorous psychometric properties?

If the project involves human subjects and/or NIH-defined clinical research, are the plans to address 1) the protection of human subjects from research risks, and 2) inclusion (or exclusion) of

individuals on the basis of sex/gender, race, and ethnicity, as well as the inclusion or exclusion of children, justified in terms of the scientific goals and research strategy proposed?

**In addition, for applications involving clinical trials**

Does the application adequately address the following, if applicable

*Study Design*

Is the study design justified and appropriate to address primary and secondary outcome variable(s)/endpoints that will be clear, informative and relevant to the hypothesis being tested? Is the scientific rationale/premise of the study based on previously well-designed preclinical and/or clinical research? Is the study design adequately powered to answer the research question(s), test the proposed hypothesis/hypotheses, and provide interpretable results? Is the trial appropriately designed to conduct the research efficiently? Are the study populations (size, gender, age, demographic group), proposed intervention arms/dose, and duration of the trial, appropriate and well justified?

Are potential ethical issues adequately addressed? Is the process for obtaining informed consent or assent appropriate? Is the eligible population available? Are the plans for recruitment outreach, enrollment, retention, handling dropouts, missed visits, and losses to follow-up appropriate to ensure robust data collection? Are the planned recruitment timelines feasible and is the plan to monitor accrual adequate? Has the need for randomization (or not), masking (if appropriate), controls, and inclusion/exclusion criteria been addressed? Are differences addressed, if applicable, in the intervention effect due to sex/gender and race/ethnicity?

Are the plans to standardize, assure quality of, and monitor adherence to, the trial protocol and data collection or distribution guidelines appropriate? Is there a plan to obtain required study agent(s)? Does the application propose to use existing available resources, as applicable?

*Data Management and Statistical Analysis*

Are planned analyses and statistical approach appropriate for the proposed study design and methods? Are the procedures for data management and quality control of data adequate at clinical site(s) or at center laboratories, as applicable? Have the methods for standardization of procedures for data management to assess the effect of the intervention and quality control been addressed? Is there a plan to complete data analysis within the proposed period of the award?

**Environment**

Will the scientific environment in which the work will be done contribute to the probability of success? Are the institutional support, equipment and other physical resources available to the investigators adequate for the project proposed? Will the project benefit from unique features of the scientific environment, subject populations, or collaborative arrangements?

**In addition, for applications involving clinical trials**

If proposed, are the administrative, data coordinating, enrollment and laboratory/testing centers, appropriate for the trial proposed?

Does the application adequately address the capability and ability to conduct the trial at the proposed site(s) or centers? Are the plans to add or drop enrollment centers, as needed, appropriate?

If international site(s) is/are proposed, does the application adequately address the complexity of executing the clinical trial?

If multi-sites/centers, is there evidence of the ability of the individual site or center to: (1) enroll the proposed numbers; (2) adhere to the protocol; (3) collect and transmit data in an accurate and

timely fashion; and, (4) operate within the proposed organizational structure?

### **Additional Review Criteria**

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will evaluate the following additional items while determining scientific and technical merit, and in providing an overall impact score, but will not give separate scores for these items.

#### **Study Timeline**

##### **Specific to applications involving clinical trials**

Is the study timeline described in detail, taking into account start-up activities, the anticipated rate of enrollment, and planned follow-up assessment? Is the projected timeline feasible and well justified? Does the project incorporate efficiencies and utilize existing resources (e.g., CTSA, practice-based research networks, electronic medical records, administrative database, or patient registries) to increase the efficiency of participant enrollment and data collection, as appropriate?

Are potential challenges and corresponding solutions discussed (e.g., strategies that can be implemented in the event of enrollment shortfalls)?

#### **Protections for Human Subjects**

For research that involves human subjects but does not involve one of the six categories of research that are exempt under 45 CFR Part 46, the committee will evaluate the justification for involvement of human subjects and the proposed protections from research risk relating to their participation according to the following five review criteria: 1) risk to subjects, 2) adequacy of protection against risks, 3) potential benefits to the subjects and others, 4) importance of the knowledge to be gained, and 5) data and safety monitoring for clinical trials.

For research that involves human subjects and meets the criteria for one or more of the six categories of research that are exempt under 45 CFR Part 46, the committee will evaluate: 1) the justification for the exemption, 2) human subjects involvement and characteristics, and 3) sources of materials. For additional information on review of the Human Subjects section, please refer to the [Guidelines for the Review of Human Subjects \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11175\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11175).

#### **Inclusion of Women, Minorities, and Children**

When the proposed project involves human subjects and/or NIH-defined clinical research, the committee will evaluate the proposed plans for the inclusion (or exclusion) of individuals on the basis of sex/gender, race, and ethnicity, as well as the inclusion (or exclusion) of children to determine if it is justified in terms of the scientific goals and research strategy proposed. For additional information on review of the Inclusion section, please refer to the [Guidelines for the Review of Inclusion in Clinical Research \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11174\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11174).

#### **Vertebrate Animals**

The committee will evaluate the involvement of live vertebrate animals as part of the scientific assessment according to the following criteria: (1) description of proposed procedures involving animals, including species, strains, ages, sex, and total number to be used; (2) justifications for the use of animals versus alternative models and for the appropriateness of the species proposed; (3) interventions to minimize discomfort, distress, pain and injury; and (4) justification for euthanasia method if NOT consistent with the AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals. Reviewers will assess the use of chimpanzees as they would any other application proposing the use of vertebrate animals. For additional information on review of the Vertebrate Animals section, please refer to the [Worksheet for Review of the Vertebrate Animal Section \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11150\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11150).



## **Biohazards**

Reviewers will assess whether materials or procedures proposed are potentially hazardous to research personnel and/or the environment, and if needed, determine whether adequate protection is proposed.

## **Resubmissions**

For Resubmissions, the committee will evaluate the application as now presented, taking into consideration the responses to comments from the previous scientific review group and changes made to the project.

## **Renewals**

Not Applicable

## **Revisions**

Not Applicable

## **Additional Review Considerations**

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will consider each of the following items, but will not give scores for these items, and should not consider them in providing an overall impact score.

### **Applications from Foreign Organizations**

Reviewers will assess whether the project presents special opportunities for furthering research programs through the use of unusual talent, resources, populations, or environmental conditions that exist in other countries and either are not readily available in the United States or augment existing U.S. resources.

### **Select Agent Research**

Reviewers will assess the information provided in this section of the application, including 1) the Select Agent(s) to be used in the proposed research, 2) the registration status of all entities where Select Agent(s) will be used, 3) the procedures that will be used to monitor possession use and transfer of Select Agent(s), and 4) plans for appropriate biosafety, biocontainment, and security of the Select Agent(s).

### **Resource Sharing Plans**

Reviewers will comment on whether the following Resource Sharing Plans, or the rationale for not sharing the following types of resources, are reasonable: (1) [Data Sharing Plan \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11151\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11151); (2) [Sharing Model Organisms \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11152\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11152); and (3) [Genomic Data Sharing Plan \(GDS\) \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11153\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11153).

### **Authentication of Key Biological and/or Chemical Resources:**

For projects involving key biological and/or chemical resources, reviewers will comment on the brief plans proposed for identifying and ensuring the validity of those resources.

### **Budget and Period of Support**

Reviewers will consider whether the budget and the requested period of support are fully justified and reasonable in relation to the proposed research.

## **2. Review and Selection Process**

Applications will be evaluated for scientific and technical merit by (an) appropriate Scientific Review Group(s) convened by NIMH, in accordance with [NIH peer review policy and procedures \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11154\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11154), using the stated [review criteria](#). Assignment to a Scientific Review Group will be shown in the eRA Commons.

As part of the scientific peer review, all applications:

- May undergo a selection process in which only those applications deemed to have the highest scientific and technical merit (generally the top half of applications under review) will be discussed and assigned an overall impact score.
- Will receive a written critique.

[Appeals \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-11-064.html\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-11-064.html) of initial peer review will not be accepted for applications submitted in response to this FOA.

Applications will be assigned on the basis of established PHS referral guidelines to the appropriate NIH Institute or Center. Applications will compete for available funds with all other recommended applications submitted in response to this FOA. Following initial peer review, recommended applications will receive a second level of review by the National Advisory Mental Health Council. The following will be considered in making funding decisions:

- Scientific and technical merit of the proposed project as determined by scientific peer review.
- Availability of funds.
- Relevance of the proposed project to program priorities.

### 3. Anticipated Announcement and Award Dates

After the peer review of the application is completed, the PD/PI will be able to access his or her Summary Statement (written critique) via the [eRA Commons \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11123\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11123). Refer to Part 1 for dates for peer review, advisory council review, and earliest start date.

Information regarding the disposition of applications is available in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11156\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11156).

## Section VI. Award Administration Information

### 1. Award Notices

If the application is under consideration for funding, NIH will request "just-in-time" information from the applicant as described in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11157\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11157).

A formal notification in the form of a Notice of Award (NoA) will be provided to the applicant organization for successful applications. The NoA signed by the grants management officer is the authorizing document and will be sent via email to the grantee's business official.

Awardees must comply with any funding restrictions described in [Section IV.5. Funding Restrictions](#). Selection of an application for award is not an authorization to begin performance. Any costs incurred before receipt of the NoA are at the recipient's risk. These costs may be reimbursed only to the extent considered allowable pre-award costs.

Any application awarded in response to this FOA will be subject to terms and conditions found on the [Award Conditions and Information for NIH Grants \(//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11158\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11158) website. This includes any recent legislation and policy applicable to awards that is highlighted on this website.

Individual awards are based on the application submitted to, and as approved by, the NIH and are subject to the IC-specific terms and conditions identified in the NoA.

ClinicalTrials.gov: If an award provides for one or more clinical trials. By law (Title VIII, Section 801 of Public Law 110-85), the "responsible party" must register and submit results information for certain "applicable clinical trials" on the ClinicalTrials.gov Protocol Registration and Results System Information Website (<https://register.clinicaltrials.gov>). NIH expects registration of all trials whether required under the law or not. For more information, see [http://grants.nih.gov/ClinicalTrials\\_fdaaa/](http://grants.nih.gov/ClinicalTrials_fdaaa/)

Institutional Review Board or Independent Ethics Committee Approval: Grantee institutions must ensure that the application as well as all protocols are reviewed by their IRB or IEC. To help ensure the safety of participants enrolled in NIH-funded studies, the awardee must provide NIH copies of documents related to all major changes in the status of ongoing protocols. Data and Safety Monitoring Requirements: The NIH policy for data and safety monitoring requires oversight and monitoring of all NIH-conducted or -supported human biomedical and behavioral intervention studies (clinical trials) to ensure the safety of participants and the validity and integrity of the data. Further information concerning these requirements is found at [http://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/hs/data\\_safety.htm](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/hs/data_safety.htm) and in the application instructions (SF424 (R&R) and PHS 398).

Investigational New Drug or Investigational Device Exemption Requirements: Consistent with federal regulations, clinical research projects involving the use of investigational therapeutics, vaccines, or other medical interventions (including licensed products and devices for a purpose other than that for which they were licensed) in humans under a research protocol must be performed under a Food and Drug Administration (FDA) investigational new drug (IND) or investigational device exemption (IDE).

## 2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

All NIH grant and cooperative agreement awards include the [NIH Grants Policy Statement](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11120) ([//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11120](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11120)) as part of the NoA. For these terms of award, see the [NIH Grants Policy Statement Part II: Terms and Conditions of NIH Grant Awards, Subpart A: General](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11157) ([//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11157](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11157)) and [Part II: Terms and Conditions of NIH Grant Awards, Subpart B: Terms and Conditions for Specific Types of Grants, Grantees, and Activities](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11159) ([//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11159](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11159)). More information is provided at [Award Conditions and Information for NIH Grants](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11158) ([//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11158](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11158)).

Recipients of federal financial assistance (FFA) from HHS must administer their programs in compliance with federal civil rights law. This means that recipients of HHS funds must ensure equal access to their programs without regard to a person's race, color, national origin, disability, age and, in some circumstances, sex and religion. This includes ensuring your programs are accessible to persons with limited English proficiency. HHS recognizes that research projects are often limited in scope for many reasons that are nondiscriminatory, such as the principal investigator's scientific interest, funding limitations, recruitment requirements, and other considerations. Thus, criteria in research protocols that target or exclude certain populations are warranted where nondiscriminatory justifications establish that such criteria are appropriate with respect to the health or safety of the subjects, the scientific study design, or the purpose of the research.

In accordance with the statutory provisions contained in Section 872 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417), NIH awards will be subject to the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS) requirements. FAPIS requires Federal award making officials to review and consider information about an applicant in the designated integrity and performance system (currently FAPIS) prior to making an award. An applicant, at its option, may review information in the designated integrity and performance systems accessible through FAPIS and comment on any information about itself that a Federal agency previously entered and is currently in FAPIS. The Federal awarding agency will consider any comments by the applicant, in addition to other information in FAPIS, in making a judgement about the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards when completing the review of risk posed by applicants as described in 45 CFR Part 75.205 "Federal awarding agency review of risk posed by applicants." This provision will apply to all NIH grants and

cooperative agreements except fellowships.

For additional guidance regarding how the provisions apply to NIH grant programs, please contact the Scientific/Research Contact that is identified in Section VII under Agency Contacts of this FOA. HHS provides general guidance to recipients of FFA on meeting their legal obligation to take reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to their programs by persons with limited English proficiency. Please see <http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/civilrights/resources/laws/revisedlep.html>. The HHS Office for Civil Rights also provides guidance on complying with civil rights laws enforced by HHS. Please see <http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/civilrights/understanding/section1557/index.html> (<http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/civilrights/understanding/section1557/index.html>); and <http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/civilrights/understanding/index.html> (<http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/civilrights/understanding/index.html>). Recipients of FFA also have specific legal obligations for serving qualified individuals with disabilities. Please see <http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/civilrights/understanding/disability/index.html> (<http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/civilrights/understanding/disability/index.html>). Please contact the HHS Office for Civil Rights for more information about obligations and prohibitions under federal civil rights laws at <http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/office/about/rgn-hqaddresses.html> (<http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/office/about/rgn-hqaddresses.html>) or call 1-800-368-1019 or TDD 1-800-537-7697. Also note it is an HHS Departmental goal to ensure access to quality, culturally competent care, including long-term services and supports, for vulnerable populations. For further guidance on providing culturally and linguistically appropriate services, recipients should review the National Standards for Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services in Health and Health Care at <http://minorityhealth.hhs.gov/omh/browse.aspx?lvl=2&lvlid=53> (<http://minorityhealth.hhs.gov/omh/browse.aspx?lvl=2&lvlid=53>).

### **Cooperative Agreement Terms and Conditions of Award**

Not Applicable

### **3. Reporting**

When multiple years are involved, awardees will be required to submit the [Research Performance Progress Report \(RPPR\)](#) (<http://grants.nih.gov/grants/rppr/index.htm>) annually and financial statements as required in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement](#). ([http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11161](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11161)) A final RPPR, invention statement, and the expenditure data portion of the Federal Financial Report are required for closeout of an award, as described in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement](#) ([http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11161](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11161)).

The Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Transparency Act), includes a requirement for awardees of Federal grants to report information about first-tier subawards and executive compensation under Federal assistance awards issued in FY2011 or later. All awardees of applicable NIH grants and cooperative agreements are required to report to the Federal Subaward Reporting System (FSRS) available at [www.fsr.gov](http://www.fsr.gov) ([http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11170](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11170)) on all subawards over \$25,000. See the [NIH Grants Policy Statement](#) ([http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11171](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11171)) for additional information on this reporting requirement.

In accordance with the regulatory requirements provided at 45 CFR 75.113 and Appendix XII to 45 CFR Part 75, recipients that have currently active Federal grants, cooperative agreements, and procurement contracts from all Federal awarding agencies with a cumulative total value greater than \$10,000,000 for any period of time during the period of performance of a Federal award, must report and maintain the currency of information reported in the System for Award Management (SAM) about civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings in connection with the award or performance of a Federal award that reached final disposition within the most recent five-year period. The recipient must also make semiannual disclosures regarding such proceedings. Proceedings information will be made publicly available in the designated integrity and performance system (currently FAPIIS). This is a statutory requirement under section 872 of Public Law 110-417, as amended (41 U.S.C. 2313). As required by section 3010 of Public Law 111-212, all information posted in the designated integrity and

performance system on or after April 15, 2011, except past performance reviews required for Federal procurement contracts, will be publicly available. Full reporting requirements and procedures are found in Appendix XII to 45 CFR Part 75 – Award Term and Conditions for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters.

## **Section VII. Agency Contacts**

We encourage inquiries concerning this funding opportunity and welcome the opportunity to answer questions from potential applicants.

### **Application Submission Contacts**

eRA Service Desk (Questions regarding ASSIST, eRA Commons, application errors and warnings, documenting system problems that threaten on-time submission, and post-submission issues)

Finding Help Online: <http://grants.nih.gov/support/> ([//grants.nih.gov/support/](http://grants.nih.gov/support/)) (preferred method of contact)

Telephone: 301-402-7469 or 866-504-9552 (Toll Free)

General Grants Information (Questions regarding application processes and NIH grant resources)

Email: [GrantsInfo@nih.gov](mailto:GrantsInfo@nih.gov) (<mailto:GrantsInfo@nih.gov>) (preferred method of contact)

Telephone: 301-945-7573

Grants.gov Customer Support (Questions regarding Grants.gov registration and Workspace)

Contact Center Telephone: 800-518-4726

Email: [support@grants.gov](mailto:support@grants.gov) (<mailto:support@grants.gov>)

### **Scientific/Research Contact(s)**

Michele Ferrante, Ph.D.

National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH)

Telephone: 301-435-6782

Email: [michele.ferrante@nih.gov](mailto:michele.ferrante@nih.gov) (<mailto:michele.ferrante@nih.gov>)

Janine M. Simmons, M.D., Ph.D.

National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH)

Telephone: 301-443-1576

Email: [simmonsj@mail.nih.gov](mailto:simmonsj@mail.nih.gov) (<mailto:simmonsj@mail.nih.gov>)

### **Peer Review Contact(s)**

Nicholas Gaiano, Ph.D.

National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH)

Telephone: 301-827-3420

Email: [nimhpeerreview@mail.nih.gov](mailto:nimhpeerreview@mail.nih.gov) (<mailto:nimhpeerreview@mail.nih.gov>)

### **Financial/Grants Management Contact(s)**

Rita Sisco

National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH)

Telephone: 301-443-2805

Email: [siscor@mail.nih.gov](mailto:siscor@mail.nih.gov) (<mailto:siscor@mail.nih.gov>)

## **Section VIII. Other Information**

Recently issued trans-NIH [policy notices](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11163) ([//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11163](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11163)) may affect your application submission. A full list of policy notices published by NIH is provided in the [NIH Guide for Grants and Contracts](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11164) ([//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11164](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11164)). All awards are subject to the terms and conditions, cost principles, and other considerations described in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11120) ([//grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url\\_redirect.htm?id=11120](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11120)).

## Authority and Regulations

Awards are made under the authorization of Sections 301 and 405 of the Public Health Service Act as amended (42 USC 241 and 284) and under Federal Regulations 42 CFR Part 52 and 45 CFR Part 75.

---

[Weekly TOC for this Announcement \(/grants/guide/WeeklyIndex.cfm?08-10-18\)](/grants/guide/WeeklyIndex.cfm?08-10-18)

[NIH Funding Opportunities and Notices \(/grants/guide/index.html\)](/grants/guide/index.html)

---

← 2



[\(http://www.hhs.gov/\)](http://www.hhs.gov/)

Department of Health  
and Human Services (HHS)



[\(http://www.usa.gov/\)](http://www.usa.gov/)

NIH... Turning Discovery Into Health®

---

**Note:** For help accessing PDF, RTF, MS Word, Excel, PowerPoint, Audio or Video files, see [Help Downloading Files \(/grants/edocs.htm\)](/grants/edocs.htm).